A large domain common to sperm receptors (Zp2 and Zp3) and TGF-β type III receptor
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A new family of mosaic proteins is defined by sequence analysis. The family is characterized by a 260 residue domain common to proteins of apparently diverse function and tissue specificity: sperm receptors Zp2 and Zp3, betaglycan (also called TGF-β type III receptor), uromodulin, as well as the major zymogen granule membrane protein (GP-2). The location of the common domain is similar with respect to putative transmembrane regions. The results lead to the hypothesis that this type of domain has a common tertiary structure and that there is a functional similarity in the recognition mechanism of the sperm receptor system and the TGF-β receptor complex.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Three different glycoproteins (ZP1, ZP2 and ZP3) of the zona pellucida, an extracellular matrix surrounding oocytes, are responsible for inducing the acrosome reaction (sperm exocytosis, for review see [1]). The detailed understanding of these molecular processes has a direct practical aspect in the context of development of safe contraceptive agents. Indeed, vaccination of a synthetic ZP3 peptide resulted in long term contraception in female mice [2]. Zp3 first binds to specific sperm proteins [3], thus mediating sperm contacts with the oocyte. Zp2 then acts as a second sperm receptor reinforcing the tight interactions [4]. Zp1 crosslinks Zp2 and Zp3, which both appear to form dimers, or perhaps higher oligomers (for review see [5]). Zp3 from different mammalia [6-8] as well as Zp2 from mouse [9] has been completely sequenced, but no sequence similarity between the two was observed.

We have now identified, by pattern-based sequence analysis, a large domain common to both sperm receptors. In addition, this domain can be found in several other receptor-like proteins such as TGF-β receptor III [10,11], uromodulin [12,13] and the major zymogen granule membrane glycoprotein GP-2 [14,15] defining a new family of mosaic proteins. Mosaic proteins have a modular architecture, as reviewed in [16,17]. The homologies presented here can be the basis for functional tests of the single modules in all proteins of the family. Conserved residues or segments provide useful hints for site-directed mutagenesis and DNA screening experiments.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

For homology searches SWISS-PROT [18] and PIR [19] sequence databases were used. Having detected a similarity between Zp2 and Zp3 using the program FASTA [20], the most conserved regions were described by property consensus patterns [21], which are sensitive and selective enough to detect remote relationship among extracellular mosaic proteins (e.g. [22]). As an additional test of the results every domain detected in this way was compared with all proteins of the sequence databases using FASTA (k-tuple-1). Because of the apparent sequence flexibility and the high content of hydrophobic amino acids 1,000 hits were recorded and sorted in terms of the 'optimized' [20] scores. The multiple alignment was carried out using the program PILEUP of the GCG package [23]. A few additional gaps were introduced to align conserved cysteines.

When attempting to establish remote relationships, a significance estimate should be provided, especially if the proteins predicted to be homologous are not clearly related in terms of biological function. Evaluating statistically only pairwise alignments in a set of sequences, rather than the multiple align as a whole, can lead to erroneous underestimates of significance. Low-level resemblances become more significant as more members are added to the alignment [24]. Although there has been some progress in the mathematical treatment of significance in multiple sequence alignments, e.g. [25], insertions and deletions have yet to be included in the statistical estimates. We therefore assess our findings in three different empirical ways, in the context of three different homology search methods. (1) FASTA. Even if no mathematical formula can be given, optimized scores [20] higher than 145 are thought by practitioners to be significant, those higher than 100 to at least indicate possible homology, when comparing globular proteins with similar amino acid composition. Optimized scores take into account amino acid similarities as well as insertions/deletions and are more representative than pairwise identities alone. Table I shows both pairwise optimized scores and identities for all domains in this family.
(2) Rather than pairwise comparisons of sequences, the program PROFILESEARCH performs a multiple alignment driven by a profile, i.e. residue frequencies at each position [26]. The significance estimate in this method is provided by Z-scores (standard deviations above background), with values higher than 6.00 considered significant [26]. A database search with the derived profile yielded Z-scores higher than 11.00 for all of the predicted members of the domain family (data not shown). No other protein with a score higher than 5.20 was found.

(3) Whereas PROFILESEARCH takes into account the multiple sequence alignment over the entire length of the sequences, the program PAT [21] concentrates on the most conserved regions (motifs) only, representing them in terms of amino acid properties and allowing large gaps between the motifs (consensus property patterns). In this method, each of the 3 consensus regions independently appeared to be highly discriminating. At least 4 of the 5 respectively mismatches were recorded for other proteins not belonging to the family. A mismatch is a deviating amino acid property in any of the positions in the motif (for details see e.g. [27]).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Sequence analysis by FASTA revealed a homology between large segments of Zp2 and Zp3. Describing the most conserved regions of the common domain (marked in Fig. 1) by a consensus property pattern [21], further relationships to (i) transforming growth factor receptor III (TGFR3), (ii) uromodulin/Tamm-Horsfall protein, and (iii) the major granule membrane protein GP-2, became evident.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>GP2D</th>
<th>GP2R</th>
<th>ZP2</th>
<th>ZP3M</th>
<th>ZP3H</th>
<th>TGFR3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>urorn</td>
<td>1,317</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP2D</td>
<td>1,288</td>
<td>1,011</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP2R</td>
<td>1,254</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZP2</td>
<td>1,413</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZP3M</td>
<td>1,321</td>
<td>1,058</td>
<td>112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZP3H</td>
<td>1,322</td>
<td>1,21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGFR3</td>
<td>1,620</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The maximum possible score for a particular sequence is defined by the score for self-comparison along the diagonal. *FASTA does not detect the full length of the domain due to insertions/deletions.

*swissprot* [14] except for rat TGFR3 [10,11]; dog GP-2 [14], and hamster Zp3 [7]. Amino acids conserved in all, except at most one of the four subfamilies (uromodulin/GP-2, Zp2, Zp3, TGFR3) are shown in bold face. A consensus line marks invariant amino acid residues in conserved properties: p = polar or turn-like and probably located at the protein surface. An intervening segment variable in length is only represented by the respective number of amino acids. The underlined segments were used for property pattern searches [21].
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tain, but recent results support a role in preventing urinary tract infections by binding mannose-sensitive fimbrated microorganisms [31]. (iii) Glycoprotein GP-2, the major component of pancreatic secretory granule membranes, is similar to uromodulin in sequence and domain structure [14], but lacks the three N-terminal EGF-like domains (Fig. 2).

The homologous region of about 260 residues common to these proteins (Figs. 1 and 2) contains eight strictly conserved cysteines, which may form disulfide bridges. The conservation of hydrophobicity, polarity or turn-forming tendency at numerous positions is consistent with a conserved three-dimensional structure (see consensus line in Fig. 1). In addition to the conserved cysteines, only a few aromatic or hydrophobic amino acids are absolutely invariant (Fig. 1), probably as a result of structural rather than functional constraints. Such a conservation pattern is typical of distantly related domains of mosaic proteins involved in binding functions [16,17].

The common domain occurs at a similar location relative to the putative membrane-spanning regions in each of these proteins (Fig. 2). This situation is suggestive of a possible common biological role of the domain. In this context, we note the following common biological properties of these proteins: (i) They all have been detected in soluble form, but (ii) also have features of integral membrane proteins in that they contain a long hydrophobic sequence segment at or near the C-terminus (Fig. 2). For three of them, membrane-bound forms, which are then further processed, have been characterized: uromodulin and GP-2 are known to contain a glycosylphosphatidylinositol membrane anchor [32,33], whereas the membrane-bound TGFR3 has a short cytoplasmic part homologous to that of endoglin [10,11,34]. In contrast, Zp2 and Zp3 have so far only been described as secreted proteins, but the short stretch of positively charged amino acids C-terminal to a hydrophobic region is typical of a small cytoplasmic extension of a membrane-bound form. Furthermore, all 5 proteins (iii) are heavily glycosylated, and (iv) appear in substantial amounts in the respective tissues (e.g. [10,14,32,33]).

The identification of a sizable common domain in these proteins is strongly suggestive of functional analogies. For the sperm receptors Zp2 and Zp3, previously not known to be structurally related, a common binding function to 95 kDa sperm proteins [3] is likely, with Zp3 binding first and Zp2 reinforcing the interaction. Our results also suggest a possible functional similarity in the recognition mechanism of the sperm receptor system and the TGF-β receptor complex.
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Fig. 2. Schematic representation of proteins containing the 260 residue domain. The domains differ in amino acid composition and degree of sequence conservation. A = domain, common to all four proteins (Fig. 1); B, C, D = segments for which so far no homology has been identified. E = epidermal growth factor (EGF-like) module, n1 (n2) = segments which are sequence-similar to endoglin [34] with 25-30% amino acid identity. s = signal sequence, t = hydrophobic segment, possibly membrane integrated.